

5. Conclusions

The real benefit of this modeling effort to those concerned with the watershed is that the model output provides the ability to consider land use decisions without either the cost or the consequences of implementing that decision, and to predict probable effects of a decision at future times. According to King and Kraemer (1995) the advantages of modeling in the policy-making process includes its ability to clarify issues in a debate, enforce a discipline of analysis and discourse, and provide an interesting and powerful form of advice (often what not to do).

We, therefore, encourage critical evaluation of the model projections we have made in order to do all of the above, including planning or assessment of whether a model-predicted outcome is possible in individual towns or subwatersheds, and if so, how it might be mitigated or avoided. Indeed model results should provoke investigation on a subwatershed level to evaluate if mitigation strategies are in place in the most vulnerable ones. However, judgment that either the model is “right” or “wrong”, that the data base is or is not adequate, or that adequate strategies are in place could lead to complacency, or worse. If in fact a threat is not deemed imminent by managers in a particular location that has been identified by the model as “at risk” of substantial future water quality declines, one might be tempted to dismiss the model altogether. This would be unfortunate since the overall conclusions of this integrated, in-depth, basin-wide, but subbasin specific study are important. We have shown conclusively that:

Development will continue to happen in the Catskill/Delaware watershed, if not in S10, for example, then somewhere else. Certainty is high with regard to this point based not only on the observed steady long term trend over time that we have deduced from satellite image analysis, but also based on the very real desires of many, both residents and non-residents, for continued development that meets personal (second-home) or economic (jobs/income from land sales) goals. This is manifest in a) the parcelization trends we have documented, b) built structures and driveways that we quantified both remotely and on the ground, c) in the often vehemently expressed views of past and present land owners gathered through surveys, and d) census and tax parcel data bases that record the history of single family home building. Complacency could also derive from the view of many that the NYDEP is buying up enough land to stem the tide (a concept certainly not welcomed by all). But even with increasing forested areas projected at the most recent rates, we have shown that they are not enough to improve or protect water quality in all subwatersheds. Furthermore, buying up land may lead to unintended consequences. Time and again in modeling land use change we have shown that instituting restrictions in one area pushes development into another (Cornell 2000, Hall et al. 2005, Tyrrell et al. 2008) e.g. pristine forest, or makes some land more desirable, e.g. steeper slopes, both of which can produce negative feedbacks to water quality. This is why it is important to focus on the basin-wide trends revealed by the study and not just individual subwatershed or town projections. (Note added in final preparation: we do not know what the recent very different economic trends in the US will mean).

Impervious surfaces have considerable impacts on in-stream nutrient concentrations and subwatershed nutrient exports that eventually are deposited in watershed reservoirs, and that the water quality models are very sensitive to how much impervious surface is added. Although this has been generally accepted and written about for several decades in the water quality literature, no study to date has quantified this relation at this scale and for so many analytes across a region of this size. The ability

to incorporate the NLCD 2001 impervious surface cover map in our analysis gave us the ability to do this, and hence add something new to the literature.

The common assumption that forests are nature's best device for protecting water quality is true based on both univariate analysis and some final export coefficient models. However, we have also shown that the forests of the Catskills are neither as pristine nor as abundant as commonly thought, and that they are at risk of continued loss to parcelization and development, the impacts of which are well supported by our statistical analyses of water quality.

Given these major findings, and given the need to protect water quality for both the economic livelihood of, and ecological benefit to, Catskill/Delaware communities, as well as maintain the economic sustainability of clean water provision to 8 million residents of New York City, our findings should lead to critical discussion of the following:

Where is development in the watershed desirable?

Where can it be managed with minimum impact – villages or rural areas?

How can impervious surfaces be designed and runoff from impervious surfaces managed to reduce nutrient delivery?

How can local land owners get the tax relief they need to keep rural land in rural uses thus reducing parcelization and development of new roads, driveways, houses and barns?

We believe that our modeling approach would be very useful in examining at least some of these questions.

To assess whether we have adequately characterized the relation between water quality and landscape characteristics through the modeling process, it is helpful to evaluate the work with respect to our own goals for a good model. We stated not only in this document but also in meetings with watershed stakeholders at the beginning of this project that a good model should:

... be a formalization of our assumptions about a system and therefore,

... have a hypothesis, sometimes formal, sometimes not;

... be testable;

... be easy to access

... be as easy as possible to understand

... employ complete, correct and consistent mathematical formulations of ecosystem processes, in this case of land use change dynamics and water quality/land cover interactions

In terms of the formalization of our assumptions about water quality and land use in the watershed, our modeling results support most of our assumptions about the relation between land use/land cover and stream nutrient variability in the Catskill/Delaware watershed, but the overwhelming importance of impervious surface was not expected. When the models did not support our assumptions, as was the case with the nitrogen species, we were sure that atmospheric deposition plays a role based on the work by Lovett et al. (2000), but we had no spatially distributed representation of deposition across the region. To substitute, we decided to include mean elevation assuming that higher elevations might be more prone to higher N deposition. Both the TN and NO₃-NO₂ models were strengthened by inclusion of this variable. Although one could argue that the mechanism is still not known, i.e. it may be that mature forests at colder elevations are leaching nitrogen, this example, nonetheless, illustrates how a model works as a research tool, more than a predictor. Given our findings, we are able to say that the modeling effort, and in particular the use of a statistical model, was not sufficient to characterize either NH₃ or TSS dynamics. The TN model indicated the need for more information than just the 18 landscape factors we assumed important to fully explain variation across the watershed.

To test model validity we assessed model goodness of fit vis-à-vis real-world observations using a variety of validation metrics, not only in building the land use change model, but also in the derivation of nutrient export coefficients and the analyte load prediction models. This was done to determine how much confidence we can have in our 2022 projections. Based on these validation assessments we can report the models of TP, TDP, SRP and NO₃-NO₂ as highly explanatory. The TN model must be assumed somewhat less robust although all independent variables included were significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) and fairly highly correlated with TN in univariate analysis. The TSS model must be disregarded and no model could be found to explain NH₃ variation across the watershed.

The majority of non-point source pollution modeling is done using one of many available non-point source pollution models in which export coefficients are assigned to different land uses. Much of the inner workings of the model are understood only by hydrologists and are only as good as their inputs, e.g. the HSPF-based (Donigan et al. 1995) EPA BASINS model. They are seldom applied to a region of this size, due to their intense data requirements. Or, if they are, as in the case of BASINS, many default coefficients for required parameters are used, rather than locally-derived relations. Furthermore these coefficients are seldom questioned, adjusted, or revised. In this instance model complexity does not enhance system understanding. In sum they are not as easy to understand, are very cumbersome to calibrate adequately and may produce results that do not match local system behavior.

A statistical model, on the other hand, using local data, attempts to explain local conditions, is easily understood and easily communicated to the public. The use of a statistical model of nutrient concentrations and nutrient loads attached to land use change projections is not only a more easily understood model, but also a useful tool to explore the relation between land uses and nutrient loads using recently locally-gathered data, and can also be a first step toward building a more complex process-based model that starts with a model of precipitation-driven runoff, as we had originally proposed for a second phase of this work. One goal of the statistical model approach was to compare locally-calibrated coefficients to those commonly used in the Catskill/Delaware region and elsewhere, i.e. the GWLF model (Haith and Shoemaker 1987) and the EPA Basins Model (EPA 1996), both of which use land use export coefficients from Reckhow and Simpson (1988), which we have been able to do, but only

through comparing total loads, since so many of the land use variables were not correlated with the analytes. It was also the most efficient way to derive estimates of future water quality for a region this large. Given that many of the land use/land cover classes were not correlated with analytes cannot help but lead to some critical reflection about the common non-point source modeling practice of using fixed export coefficients for pasture, urban, forest, corn field, etc.

The equations derived to project land use changes in the future are based on algorithms that were tested for their predictive power using in particular, the ROC statistic, overall, user's producer's accuracy, and a number of assessment tools to evaluate both locational and quantity fit between observed and simulated land use change. These assessments gave us higher confidence in the equations selected for future LULC projections. Likewise the tests applied in the construction of the water quality algorithms avoided hyper inflation of model algorithmic output caused by potential collinearity between explanatory factors. Again, this assures correct mathematical formulations to represent system dynamics. And finally, our assessment of outlier influence was important in identifying those models where either independent or dependent variable values from outliers may have had some effect on model reliability.

We were asked before even applying for the funding to conduct this research, "If you predict land use/land cover changes of that magnitude, can you predict potential water quality impacts?" We answered, "yes," and, in fact, in 2008 are able to report two future loading estimates for the entire watershed, and for 88 subwatersheds, of 4, and to a lesser degree 5, important nutrients that are highly associated with water quality degradation. The second question posed to us was, "When will it be too much?" That is a more difficult question to answer, and something we had proposed to tackle in a second and third round of funding. To do so would require modeling the fate and transport of the increased loads predicted here, both in-stream and in the reservoirs. Even without those sophisticated studies, we can say that the precursors of water quality declines are evident. None of the subwatersheds as of 2022 comes close to the EPA threshold of 10% impervious surface that signals water quality concerns. The highest projection is for the small SKTB subcatchment, which would be over 4% under the high development rate. While this is not of concern, the fact that the median concentration of 31 of the 83 subcatchments sampled between 2001 and 2003 exceeds the EPA TMDL guidelines for phosphorous cannot escape notice (Table 1, section 4.2.2). We do not have the data for recent sampling that has followed WWTP upgrades, so cannot comment on whether improvements have occurred.

In 1983 Dickerhoff-Delwiche and Haith attributed most of the sediment and solid-phase nutrient loading into the Cannonsville basin to corn fields, and the dissolved-phase to waste water treatment plants. Given that agriculture is declining and the waste water treatment plants have been upgraded under MOA requirements it is probable that water quality is improving in this basin. However, on-going research and modeling efforts in the Cannonsville basin that are attempting to build accurately simulated hydrological response, sediment, and nutrient loading, have not shown basin-wide declines in phosphorous loading since the time of the data set we have employed (Rao et al. (in press); Tolson and Shoemaker 2007; Benaman and Shoemaker 2004 and 2005; Benaman, Shoemaker and Haith 2005; Schneiderman et al. 2002 and 2007). The DEP annual reports for 2005 and 2007 show a range of reservoir loads over the period 2001 to 2007, and although the year 2007 measurement is lower than other years, one year is not sufficient to verify a downward trend (NYC DEP 2005, 2007).

Even with reduced agricultural activity, upgraded WWTPs, and increasing forest cover water quality may still be at risk. Certainly we have shown that to be true under some of our scenarios particularly due to the effect of impervious surface. Others working in the watershed believe that increases in the number and magnitude of storm events, defoliation due to warmer temperature-dependent insects, and changing soil and air dynamics, all due to changing climate as presented by Rosenzweig et al. (2007) may pose more serious threats to a continued supply of clean water than development-driven changes. If they are correct, more impervious surfaces will exacerbate all of the climate change impacts listed above. It is easy to dismiss the results reported here, because as looking out at miles and miles of forest cover one cannot imagine how any time soon there could be enough development to reduce water quality to levels requiring filtration. Forest-complacency syndrome unfortunately often leads to discovery 10 years down the road that things are changing, while one wasn't looking (or was seeing only what one wanted to see). We believe that the work presented here shows convincingly that in another 20 years, under even the least dramatic rate projections, there will be noticeable land cover changes. If these changes continue post-2022 and they are to have no impact on the quality of water coming out of the tap on East 23rd Street, and or that flowing into the famous junction pool on the West Branch of the Delaware, then particular attention must be paid to the management of future impervious surfaces installed in the watershed.

References

- Ahearn, D.S., Sheibley, R.W., Dahlgren, R.A., Anderson, M.A., Johnson, J., and Tate, K.W., 2005. Land use and land cover influence on water quality in the last free-flowing river draining the western Sierra Nevada, California. *Journal of Hydrology*, 313: 234-247.
- Alig, R.J., B.J. Butler and J.J. Swenson. 2000. "Fragmentation and National Trends in Private Forest Lands: Preliminary Findings From the 2000 Renewable Resource Planning Act Assessment. Fragmentation2000 Conference, September 17-20, 2000, Annapolis, MD.
- Alig, R.J., Kline, J.D., Lichtenstein, M., 2004. Urbanization on the US landscape: Looking ahead in the 21st century. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 69, 219-234.
- Allan, J.D., 2004. Landscapes and riverscapes. The influence of land use on stream ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 35: 257-284.
- Allan, J.D., Erickson, D.L., and Fay, J., 1997. The influence of catchment land use on stream integrity across multiple scales. *Freshwater Biology*, 37: 149-161.
- Anderson, J.R., Hardy, E.E., Roach, J.T., Witmer, R.E., 1976. A Land Use and Land Cover Classification System for Use with Remote Sensor Data. Geological Survey Professional Paper 964, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Baker, A., 2003. Land use and water quality. *Hydrological Processes*, 17: 2499-501.
- Barlow, S., I. Munn, D. Cleaves and D. Evans. 1998. The effect of urban sprawl on timber harvesting: A look at two southern states. *J. For.* 96(12): 10-14.
- Basnyat, P., Teeter, L.D., Flynn, K.M., and Lockaby, B.G., 1999. Relationships between landscape characteristics and nonpoint source pollution inputs to coastal estuaries. *Environmental Management*, 23 (4):539-549.
- Beggan, James K. and Scott T. Allisson. 1993. The Landslide Victory that Wasn't – the Bias Toward Consistency in Recall of Election Support. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 23(8): 669-677.
- Benaman, J and C. A. Shoemaker, 2005. An analysis of high-flow sediment event data for evaluating model performance. *Hydrological processes* 19(3): 605-620.
- Benaman, J. C. and C. A. Shoemaker, 2004. Methodology for Analyzing Ranges of Uncertain Model Parameters and Their Impact on Total Maximum Daily Load Process. *J. Envir. Engrg.* 130(6):648-656 (June 2004).
- Benaman, J., C. A. Shoemaker, and D. A. Haith, 2005. Calibration and Validation of Soil and Water Assessment Tool on an Agricultural Watershed in Upstate New York. *J. Hydrologic Engrg.* 10(5):363-374
- Binkley, D., Ice, G.G., Kaye, J., and Williams. C.A., 2004. Nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations in forest streams of the United States. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, October: 1277-1291.

- Birch, T. W. 1996. Private Forest-land Owners of the United States, 1994. USDA Forest Service Northeast Experiment Station Resource Bulletin NE-134. USDA Forest Service: Radnor, PA.
- Blaine, J.G., Sweeney, B.W., and Arscott, D.B., 2006. Enhanced source-water monitoring for New York City: historical framework, political context, and project design. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 25(4): 851-866.
- Blaschke, T., 2005. Towards a framework for change detection based on image objects. *Remote Sensing & GIS for Environmental Studies*, edited by Stefan Erasmí, Bernd Cyffka, Martin Kappas. *Gottinger Geographische Ahandlungen*, Vol.113.
- Bolstad, P.V., Swank, W.T., 1997. Cumulative impacts of landuse on water quality in a southern Appalachian watershed. *J. of Am. Water Res. Assn.* 33(3), 519-533.
- Brockett, Charles D. and Luke Gebhard. 1999. NIPF Tax Incentives: Do They Make a Difference? *Journal of Forestry* 97(4): 16-21.
- Butler, B.J. and E.C. Leatherberry. 2004. America's Family Forest Owners. *Journal of Forestry* 102(7): 4-14.
- Byron, E.R., and Goldman, C.R., 1989. Land-use and water quality in tributary streams of Lake Tahoe. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 18: 84-88.
- Canham, H. and K. King. 1998. Just the Facts: An Overview of New York's Wood-Based Economy and Forest Resource. Glenmont: Empire State Forest Products Association and New York Center for Forestry Research and Development.
- Caron, J. 2008. The Changing Face of a Forested Watershed: Parcelization in the New York City Watershed. Masters Thesis, SUNY ESF, Syracuse, NY.
- Carpenter, S.R., N.F. Caraco, D.L. Correll, R.W. Howarth, A.N. Sharpley, and V.H. Smith. 1998. Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological Applications*, 8 (3):559-568.
- Catskill Watershed Corporation. 2008. Introduction to CWC Programs. Available online: http://www.cwconline.org/programs/pro_index.html. Last accessed: November, 14, 2008.
- Ceccato, Pietro, Nadine Gobron, Ste' phane Flasse, Bernard Pinty, and Stefano Tarantola, 2002. Designing a spectral index to estimate vegetation water content from remote sensing data: Part 1 Theoretical approach. *Remote Sensing Environment* 82(2002) 188-197
- Center for Watershed Protection. 2003. Impacts of impervious cover on aquatic ecosystems. Watershed Protection Research Monograph No. 1. Ellicott City, MD: Center for Watershed Protection.
- Chadwick, D.R. and Chen, S., 2002. Manures. In Haygarth, P.M., and Jarvis, S.C., 2002. Agriculture, hydrology and water quality, CABI Publishing, New York, NY.
- Chang, M., McCullough, J.D., and Granillo, A.B., 1983. Effects of land use and topography on some water quality variables in forested East Texas. *Water Resource Bulletin*, 19 (2):191-196.

- Chen, L. and L. Lee, 1992. Prograssive generation of control frameworks for image registration. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 58(9):1321-1328
- Civco, D. L., J. D. Hurd, E. H. Wilson, M. Song, and Z. Zhang, 2002. A comparison of land use and land cover change detection methods. 2002 ASPRS-ACSM Annual Conference and FIG XXII Congress April 22-26, 2002.
- Clark Labs, 2006. IDRISI Andes Version, <http://www.clarklabs.org/products/>
- Cook, D., 1969. *Planted larch in New York*. Cook Publishing, Albany, NY.
- Cornell, J. D. 2000. Assessing the Role of Parks for Protecting Forest Resources Usiing GIS and Spatial Modeling, chpt 19, pp 543-562 in C. A. S. Hall, G. LeClerc, and C. Leon Perez (Eds.) *Quantifying Sustainable Development: The Future of Tropical Economies*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA
- Crawford, S. 2006. *New York State Industrial Timber Harvest Production and Consumption*. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY 5p.
- Crist, E.P. and R.C. Cicone, 1984a. Application of the Tasseled Cap Concept to Simulated Thematic Mapper Data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*. 50(3): 343-352.
- Crist, E.P. and R.C. Cicone, 1984b. A Physically-Based Transformation of Thematic Mapper data - The TM Tasseled Cap. *IEEE transactions on Geosceince and Remote Sensing*. Vol. GE-22(3): 256-262.
- Cubbage, F. 1983. *Economics of forest tract size: Theory and literature*. USDA Forest Service, Southern Forest Experimental Station, New Orleans, LA. General Technical Report SO-41, 21p.
- Cushing, Tamara, Steve Bullard, John Greene and Ted Beauvais. 1998. *The Effects of the Federal Estate Tax on Nonindustrial Private Landowners*. Proceedings of the Society of American Foresters 1998 National Convention, Traverse City, MI, Sept. 19-23, 1998.
- DeCoster, L.A. 1998. The Boom in Forest Owners: A Bust for Forestry? *Journal of Forestry* 96:5, p.25-28.
- Dennis, D. F. 1992. Parcelization and Affluence. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 9: 33-35.
- DePalma, A., 2006. New York's water supply may need filtering. *The New York Times*. July 20, 2006.
- Dickerhoff Delwiche, L. L. and D. A. Haith, 1983. Loading Functions For Predicting Nutrient Losses from Complex Watersheds, *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 19(6):951 – 959.
- Dillman, D.A. 2000. *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*, 1st ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Donigian, A.S., Jr., B.R. Bicknell and J.C. Imhoff. 1995. Hydrologic Simulation Program - FORTRAN (HSPF). Chapter 12 in *Computer Models of Watershed Hydrology*, V.P. Singh, Ed., Water Resources Publications, Littleton, CO.
- Donnelly, S., Evans, T.P., 2008. Characterizing spatial patterns of land ownership at the parcel level in south-central Indiana, 1928-1997. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 84, 230-340.
- Dow, C. L., D. B. Arscott and J. D. Newbold, 2006. Relating major ions and nutrients to watershed conditions across a mixed-use, water-supply watershed. *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.* 25(4):887-911.

- Driscoll, C., Whitall, D., Aber, J., Boyer, E., Castro, M., Cronan, C., Goodale, C.L., Groffman, P., Hopkinson, C., Lambert, K., Lawrence, G., and Ollinger, S., 2003. Nitrogen pollution in the Northeastern United States: sources, effects and management options. *BioScience*, 53: 357-374.
- Dudley, N., and Stolton, S., 2003. Running pure: The importance of forest protected areas to drinking water. A research report for the World Bank/WWF Alliance for forest conservation and sustainable use. Available < www.wwf.org.uk/filelibrary/pdf/running_pure_04.pdf>.
- Effler, S.W., Perkins, M.G., Ohrazda, N., Brooks, C.M., and Wagner, B.A., 1998. Turbidity and particle signatures imparted by runoff events in Ashokan Reservoir, NY. *Journal of Lake Reservoir Management*, 14(2-3): 254-265.
- Ehrenfeld, J.G., Kourtev, P., Weize, H., 2001. Changes in soil functions following invasions of exotic understory plants in deciduous forests. *Ecol. App.* 11(5), 1287-1300.
- Endreny, T. A. and E. F. Wood, 2003. Watershed Weighting of Export Coefficients to Map Critical Phosphorous Loading Areas. *Journal of American Water Resources Association* 39(1) 165:181.
- Endreny, T., Hassett, J.M., Hassett, J.P., Mitchell, M., Siegel, D., Burns, D., Heisig, P.M., 2002. Runoff timing and quality as a function of suburbanization. *American Water Resources Association Annual Conference*, Philadelphia.
- Endreny, T.A., Wolosoff, S., and Hassett, J.M., 2005. Robustness of pollutant loading estimators to water quality data thinning in a suburban watershed. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 3(1): 53-66.
- EPA 1996. The Better Assessment Science Integrating point and Nonpoint Sources (BASINS) software system, <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/basins/>.
- Erickson, JD, K Limburg, J Gowdy, K Stainbrook, A Nowosielski, C Hermans, and J Polimeni. 2005. Anticipating Change in the Hudson River Watershed: an Ecological Economic Model for Integrated Scenario Analysis, in R. Bruins and M. Heberling (Eds.), *Economics and Ecological Risk Assessment: Applications to Watershed Management*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. Pages 341-370.
- Ernst, C., 2004. Protecting the Source: Land Conservation and the Future of America's Drinking Water. *Trust for Public Land*, Washington, D.C.
- ESRI, ArcGIS Software, Redlands, CA
- Ewing R. 1994. Characteristics, causes, and effects of sprawl: a literature review. *Environmental and Urban Issues*, pp. 1-15.
- Fajvan, M., Grushecky, S., Hassler, C., 1998. The effects of harvesting practices on West Virginia's wood supply. *J. of Forestry* 96(5), 33-39.
- Fenn, M.E., Poth, M.A., Aber, J.D., Baron, J.S., Bormann, B.T., Johnson, D.W., Lemly, A.D., McNulty, S.G., Ryan, D.F. and Stottlemeyer, R., 1998. Nitrogen excess in North American ecosystems: A review of predisposing factors, geographic extent, ecosystem responses and management strategies. *Ecological applications*, 8: 706-733.

- Field, A. 2005. "Discovering Statistic Using SPSS". Sage Publications, London, England. p. 668.
- Foody, G.M., 2002. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 80:185-201.
- Foody, G.M., A.M. Muslim, and P.M. Atkinson, 2005. Super-resolution mapping of the waterline from remotely sensed data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(24):5381 - 5392
- Force, J. E. and H. W. Lee. 1991. Nonindustrial Private Forest Owners in Idaho. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 6(2): 32-36.
- Forman RTT, Alexander LE. 1998. Roads and their major ecological effects. *Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics* 29: 207-231.
- Fowler, F. J. Jr. 1995. "Improving Survey Questions: Deign and Evaluation", Sage Publications, London, England.
- Fraser, Stuart, Francis J. Greene and Kevin F. Mole. 2007. Sources of Bias in the Recall of Self-Generated Data: The Role of Anchoring. *Journal of Management* 18: 192-208.
- Galusha, D., 1999. *Liquid assets: A history of New York's Water System*. Purple Mountain Press, Ltd., New York.
- Germain, R. 1998. *New York Sustainable Forestry Initiative Progress Report*. New York SFI. Empire States Forest Products Association. 21p.
- Germain, R., Anderson, N., Bevilacqua, E., 2007. The effects of forestland parcelization and ownership transfers on nonindustrial private forestland forest stocking in New York. *J. of Forestry* 105(8), 403-408.
- Germain, R., K. Brazill and S. Stehman. 2006. Forestland parcelization in upstate NewYork despite economic stagnation and declining population. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 23(4): 280-287.
- Gleick, P., 1998. Water in crisis: Paths to sustainable water use. *Ecological Applications*, 8(3): 571-579.
- Gobster, P.H. and M.G. Rickenbach. 2003. Private Forestland Parcelization and Development in Wisconsin's Northwoods: Perceptions of Resource-Oriented Stakeholders, Landscape and Urban Planning 69:2-3, p. 165-182.
- Greene, John L., Steven H Bullard, Tamara L. Cushing and Theodore Beauvais. 2006. Effect of the federal estate tax on nonindustrial private forest holdings. *Journal of Forestry* 104(1): 15-20.
- Gustafson, E. J. and C. Loehle. 2007. *Effects of Parcelization and Land Divesture on Forest Sustainability in Simulated Forest Landscapes*. USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Rhinelander, WI
- Haith, D. A., Reynolds, J. E., Landre, P. T., Richard, T. L. 1992. Sludge loading rates for forest land. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 118(2):196-208
- Haith, D.A. and L.L. Shoemaker, 1987. Generalized Watershed Loading Functions for Stream Flow Nutrients. *Water Resources Bulletin*. 23(3):471-478.

- Hall, M.H., and Yavuz, M., 2006. Land use/land cover classification and change detection of the Catskill/Delaware Watersheds from 1975 to 2002 using cross-correlational analysis. 2006 New York City Watershed Science and Technical Conference, Fishkill, New York.
- Hall, M.H., Tyrrell, M., Germain, R., Sampson, N., and Hall, C.A.S, in progress. Predicting future water quality from land use change projections in the Catskill-Delaware Watersheds. SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, New York.
- Hansen, A.J., Knight, R.L., Marzluff, J.M., Powell, S., Brown, K., Gude, P.H., Jones, K., 2005. Effects of exurbanization on biodiversity: Patterns, mechanisms, and research needs. *Ecological Applications* 15(6), 1893-1905.
- Hassett, J.M., Endreny, T.A., Wolosoff, S., Adam, M., Mitchell, M.J., 2003. Effect of Suburban Development and Landscape Position on Water Quality in Three Small Watersheds within the Croton System, New York. *Eos Trans. AGU*, 84(46), Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract H51C-1066, 2003.
- Hatch, D., Goulding, K., and Murphy, D., 2002. Nitrogen. In Haygarth, P.M., and Jarvis, S.C., 2002. *Agriculture, hydrology and water quality*, CABI Publishing, New York, NY.
- Heisig, H.M., 2000. Effects of residential and agricultural land uses on the chemical quality of baseflow of small streams in the Croton Watershed, Southeastern New York. *USGS WRIR 99-4173*.
- Helms, J., editor. 1998. *The Dictionary of Forestry*. Society of American Foresters, Bethesda, MD.
- Herlihy, A.T., Stoddard, J.L., and Johnson, C.B., 1998. The relationship between stream chemistry and watershed land cover data in the Mid-Atlantic Region, U.S. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 105, 377-386.
- Hill, A.R., 1981. Streams phosphorus exports from catchments with contrasting land uses in southern Ohio. *Water Resources Bulletin*, 17: 627-634.
- Hill, D. B. 1985. Forest Fragmentation and its Implications in Central New York, *Forest Ecology and Management* 12:22, p. 113-128.
- Hively, W.D., Bryant, R.B., and Fahey, T.J., 2005. Phosphorus concentrations in overland flow from diverse locations on a New York dairy farm. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 34:1224-1233.
- Homer, C., C. Huang, L. Yag, B. Wylie, and M. Coan. 2004. Development of a 2001 National Land-Cover Database for the United States. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* Vol. 70, No. 7, pp 829-840.
- Homer, C., J. Dewitz, J. Fry, M. Coan, N. Hossain, C. Larson, N. Herold, A. McKerrow, J.N. VanDriel and J. Wickham. 2007. Completion of the 2001 National Land Cover Database for the Conterminous United States, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, Vol. 73, No. 4, pp 337-341.
- Hunsacker, C.T., and Levine, D.A., 1995. Hierarchical approaches to the study of water quality in rivers. *Bioscience*, 45(3): 193-201.

- Hynes, H.B.N., 1975. The stream and its valley. *Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie*, 19: 1-15.
- Jenness, J. and J. J. Wynne. 2005. Kappa analysis (kappa_stats.avx) extension for ArcView 3.x. Jenness Enterprises. Available at: http://www.jennessent.com/arcview/kappa_stats.htm.
- Jensen, J. R., 2005, *Introductory Digital Image Processing*, 3rd Ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 526 pages.
- Johnson, L.B., and Gage, S.H., 1997. Landscape approaches to the analysis of aquatic ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, 37: 113-132.
- Johnson, L.B., Richards, C., Host, G.E., and Arthur, J.W., 1997. Landscape influences on water chemistry in Midwestern ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, 37: 193-208.
- Jones, K.B., D.T. Heggem, T.G. Wade, A.C. Neale, D.W. Evert, M.S. Nash, M.H. Mehaffey, K.A. Hermann, A.R. Selle, S. Augustine, I.A. Goodman, J. Pedersen, D. Bolgrien, J.M. Viger, D. Chiang, C.L. Lin, Y. Zhong, J. Baker, and R.D. Van Remortel. 2000. Assessing landscape condition relative to water resources in the Western United States: A strategic approach. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 64:227-245.
- Jones, K.B., Neale, A.C., Nash, M.S., Van Remortel, R.D., Wickham, J.D., Ritters, K.H. and O'Neill, R.V. 2001. Predicting nutrient and sediment loadings to streams from landscape metrics: A multiple watershed study from the United States Mid-Atlantic Region. *Landscape Ecol*, 16: 301-312.
- Kenefic, L. and R. Nyland. 2005. Diameter-limit cutting and silviculture in northeastern forests: a primer for landowners, practitioners, and policy makers. NA-TP-02-05. Newtown Square, PA: USDA For. Ser., Northeastern Area. 18p.
- Kenefic, L., Nyland, R., (eds.), 2005. Proceedings of the conference on diameter-limit cutting in northeastern forests, 2005. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-341. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Newtown Square, PA.
- King and Kraemer, in L. Steyaert, B. O. Parks, C. Johnston, and M. F. Goodchild, (Eds.), *GIS and environmental modeling: progress and research issues*. Fort Collins, CO, GIS World Books, pp. 123-128, 1995
- Klein RD. 1979. Urbanization and stream water quality impairment. *Water Resources Bulletin* 15: 948-963.
- Kline, J. D., and R. J. Alig. 2005. *Forestland Development and Private Forestry with Examples from Oregon USA*.
- Klingemann, Harald K.-H. 1991. The motivation for change from problem alcohol and heroin use. *British Journal of Addiction* 86: 727-744.
- Koeln, G. and J. Bissonnette, 2000. Cross-correlation analysis: mapping landcover change with a historic landcover database and a recent, single-date multispectral image. in *Proc. 2000 ASPRS Annual Convention*, Washington, D.C. 8 p.

- Langbien, L. and C. L. Felbinger. 2006. "Public Program Evaluation: A Statistical Guide", M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, New York.
- LaPierre, S. and R. Germain. 2005. Parcelization of private lands in the New York City Watershed. *J. For.* 103(3): 139-145.
- Lathrop, R.G., Tulloch, D.L., Hatfield, C., 2007. Consequences of land use change in the New York-New Jersey Highlands, USA: Landscape indicators of forest and watershed integrity. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 79, 150-159.
- Lawrence, G.B., Lovett, G.B., and Baevsky, Y.H., 2000. Atmospheric nitrogen and watershed nitrogen export along an elevational gradient in the Catskill Mountains, New York. *Biogeochemistry*, 50: 21-43.
- Leak, W., D. Solomon and P. DeBold. 1987. *Silvicultural guide for northern hardwood types in the Northeast* (revised). USDA Forest Service, Northeast Forest Experimental Station, Broomall, PA, Research Paper NE-603, 36p.
- Li L, Sato Y, Zhu HH. 2003. Simulating spatial urban expansion based on a physical process. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 64 (1-2): 67-76.
- Lillesand, T.M. and R.W Kiefer, 1994. *Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation*, 3rd edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994.
- Lovett, G.M., Weathers, K.C., and Sobczak, W.V., 2000. Nitrogen saturation and retention in forested watersheds of the Catskill Mountains, New York. *Ecological Applications*, 10 (1): 73-84.
- Luloff, A.E., J.C. Finley and J. Melbye. 2000. *Social Issues and Impacts Associated with Land Parcelization, from Forest Fragmentation: Sustaining Private Forests in the 21st Century Conference Proceedings*, New Haven, CT.
- Lutz, H.J., Costello, D.F., Hickie, P., Stone, E., Bates, M., Ellison, L., 1957. Symposium on applications of ecology. *Ecology* 38(1), 46-64.
- MacDonald, K., Rudel, T.K., 2005. Sprawl and forest cover: what is the relationship? *App. Geography* 25, 67-79.
- Marquis, D. A, Ernst and S. Stout. 1992. *Prescribing silvicultural treatments in hardwood stands of the Alleghenies*. (Revised). Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-96. Broomall, PA: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experimental Station. 101 p. GTR-NE-096.
- McClain, M.E., Richey, J.E., and Pimentel, T.P. 1994. Groundwater nitrogen dynamics at the terrestrial-lotic interface of a small catchment in the Central Amazon Basin. *Biogeochemistry*, 27: 113-127.
- McHale, M., 2006. Personal communication. Flow exceedency curves. United States Geological Survey, November 2006.

- Mehaffey, M.H., M.S. Nash, T.G. Wade, C.M. Edmonds, D.W. Ebert, K.B. Jones, and A. Rager, 2001. A Landscape Assessment of the Catskill/Delaware Watersheds (1975-1998) - New York City's Water Supply Watersheds. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division, Las Vegas, Nevada. EPA/600/R-01/075.
- Mehaffey, M.H., Nash, M.S., Wade, T.G., Ebert, D.W., Jones, K.B., Rager, A., 2005. Linking land cover and water quality in New York City's water supply watersheds. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 107, 29-44.
- Mehaffey, M.H., Nash, M.S., Wade, T.G., Edmonds, D.W., Ebert, D.W., Jones, K.B., Rager, A., 2001. A landscape assessment of the Catskill/Delaware watersheds 1975-1998: New York City's water supply watersheds. EPA/600/R-01/075, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Las Vegas, N.V.
- Mehmood, S. R. and D. Zhang. 2001. Forest Parcelization in the United States: A Study of Contributing Factors. *Journal of Forestry*: 99, 4, p. 30-34.
- Merriam-Webster Dictionary. 2002. Merriam-Webster. On-line at <http://www.mw.com/home.htm>.
- Miles, P. 2007. Forest inventory mapmaker web-application version 2.1. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. Accessed 09-10-2007. [Available on internet: www.ncrs2.fs.fed.us/4801/fiadb/index.htm]
- Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics (MRLC) Consortium, 2001. National Land Cover Database 2001. Available at <http://www.mrlc.gov/nlcd.php>.
- Munn, I., S. Barlow, D. Evans and D. Cleaves. 2002. Urbanization's impact on timber harvesting in the south central United States. *Journal of Environmental Management* 64: 65-76.
- Munsell, J. and R. Germain. 2007. Woody biomass energy: An opportunity for silviculture on non-industrial private forestlands in New York. *Journal of Forestry* (in press).
- Munsell, J. F. and R.H. Germain. 2004. Forestry extension participation and written forest management plan use in New York City's Water Supply System. *Journal of Extension* 43 (2)
- Naiman RJ, Turner MG. 2000. A future perspective on North America's freshwater ecosystems. *Ecological Applications* 10 (4): 958-970.
- National Research Council (NRC), Committee to Review the New York City Watershed Management Strategy, 2000. Water Science and Technology Board, Commission on Geosciences, Environment, and Resources. Watershed management for potable water supply: assessing the New York City strategy [electronic resource]. National Academy Press: Washington D.C.
- NEFA, 2007. The economic importance and wood flows the forests of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont and New York, 2007. North East State Foresters Association, Concord, NH.
- New York Forest Owners Association Board. 2004. Forest Property Tax Reform. New York Forest Owners Association Position Statement. Retrieved December 2007 from <http://www.nyfoa.org/docs/PositionStatment-ForestPropertyTaxReform.pdf>
- Nilsson, C., Pizzuto, J.E., Moglen, G.E., Palmer, M.A., Stanley, E.H., Bockstael, N.E., and Thompson, L.C, 2003. Ecological forecasting and the urbanization of ecosystems: Challenges for economists, hydrologists, geomorphologists, and ecologists. *Ecosystems*, 6: 659-674.

- Northeastern Research Station, 2002. The Granite State's Forests: Trends in the Resource. NE-INF-141-02. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Newtown Square, PA.
- Nowak, D.J., Walton, J.T., 2005. Projected urban growth (2000-2050) and its estimated impact on the US forest resource. *J of Forestry* 103, 383-389
- NRDC (Natural Resources Defense Council), 2003. What's on tap? Grading drinking water in U.S. Cities. Accessed on March 15, 2007. Available < <http://www.nrdc.org/water/drinking/uscities/contents.asp>>.
- NYC DEP, 2003. Why New York City needs a filtered Croton supply. Accessed on March 14, 2007. Available online < <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/pdf/croton/whitepaper.pdf>>.
- NYC DEP, 2005. Drinking waters supply and quality report. Accessed on March 17, 2007. Available online < <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/wsstate.html>>.
- NYC DEP, 2006. Watershed protection program summary and assessment. Accessed on March 05, 2007. Available <<http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/watershed/html/fadsummary.html>>.
- NYC DEP, 2007. NYC Water supply watersheds. Accessed on March 29, 2007. Available <<http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/watershed/home.html>>.
- NYC DEP, 2008a. New York City Water Supply Watersheds: Commissioner's Statement. New York City Department of Environmental Protection, New York, NY. , available at http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/watershed_protection/html/statement.html.
- NYC DEP, 2008b. Drinking Water Supply and Quality Report. New York City Department of Environmental Protection, New York, NY. , available at http://nyc.gov/html/dep/html/drinking_water/wsstate.shtml.
- NYC DEP. 1998, Methodology for Calculating Phase II Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) of Phosphorus for New York City Drinking Water Reservoirs.
- Nyland, R. 2002. *Silviculture: Concepts and Applications*. McGraw-Hill Company, New York, NY. 2ed. 682p.
- Nyland, R., 2001. Forestry and silviculture in the northeast – past, present, and the probably future. In: Proc. Soc. Am. For. 2000 National Convention, SAF Publication 01-02. Society of American Foresters, Bethesda, MD.
- Omernik, J. M., 1976. Influence of land use on stream nutrient levels. Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. EPA Report Number EPA/600/3-76/014.
- Omernik, J.M., 1977. Nonpoint source-stream nutrient level relationships: A nationwide study. Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. EPA Report Number EPA/600/3-77/105.
- Omernik, J.M., Abernathy, A.R., and Male, L.M., 1981. Stream nutrient levels and proximity of agricultural and forest land to streams: Some relationships. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, July-August: 227-231.

- Osborne, L.L., and Wiley, M.J., 1988. Empirical relationships between land use/cover and stream water quality in an agricultural watershed. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 26: 9-27.
- Paul MJ, Meyer JL. 2001. Streams in the urban landscape. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 32: 333-365.
- Perry, C.D., G. Vellidis, R. Lowrance, and D.L. Thomas. 1999. Watershed-scale water quality impacts of riparian forest management. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management* 125(3): 117—125.
- Peterjohn, W.T., and Correll, D.L. 1984. Nutrient dynamics in an agricultural watershed: Observations on the role of a riparian forest. *Ecology*, 65 (5):1466-1475.
- Peterson, T. 2000. What's Happening to the Land: National Trends and Impacts of Land Conversion. Fragmentation2000 Conference, September 17-20, 2000, Annapolis, MD.
- Polimeni J. 2002. A Dynamic Spatial Simulation of Residential Development in the Hudson River Valley, New York State. Doctoral Dissertation, Ecological Economics, Department of Economics, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York.
- Pontius, R. G. Jr and H Chen. 2006. GEOMOD Modeling Chapter of help system in J Ronald Eastman. *Idrisi 15: The Andes Edition*. Worcester MA: Clark Labs.
- Postel SL. 2000. Entering an era of water scarcity: the challenges ahead. *Ecological Applications* 10 (4): 941-948.
- Postel, S., 2005. Liquid assets: The critical need to safeguard freshwater ecosystems. Worldwatch Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Rao, N. S., Z. M. Easton, E. M. Schneiderman, M. S. Zion, D. R. Lee and T. S. Steenhuis, 2008 (in press). Modeling watershed-scale effectiveness of agricultural best management practices to reduce phosphorus loading. *Journal of Environmental Management*
- Rawlings, J.O., Pantula, S.G., and Dickey, D.A., 1998. *Applied regression analysis: A research tool*. Springer-Varlag, New York.
- Reckhow, K.H. and Simpson, J.T. 1980. A Procedure Using Modeling and Error Analysis for the Prediction of Lake Phosphorus Concentration from Land Use Information, *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 37, 1439–1448.
- Rich, J.L. 1934. *Glacial geology of the Catskills*. The University of the State of New York, Albany, NY.
- Richardson, C.J., 1985. Mechanisms controlling phosphorus retention capacity in freshwater wetlands. *Science*, 228(4706): 1424-1427.
- Richardson, C.J., 1999. The role of wetlands in storage, release and cycling of phosphorus on the landscape: A 25-year retrospective. In: Reddy, K.R., O'Connor, G.A., and Schelske, C.L. (eds). *Phosphorus biogeochemistry in subtropical ecosystems*. Lewis Publishers.
- Rogers, G.O., DeFee, B.B., 2005. Long-term impact of development on a watershed: early indicators of future problems. *Landscape Urban Plan.*73, 215-233.

- Rosenzweig, C., D.C. Major, K. Demong, C. Stanton, R. Horton, and M. Stults, 2007: Managing climate change risks in New York City's water system: Assessment and adaptation planning. *Mitig. Adapt. Strategies Global Change*, 12, 1391-1409, doi:10.1007/s11027-006-9070-5.
- Row, C. 1978. Economies of tract size in timber growing. *J. For.* 76(9): 576-582.
- Royte, E., 2007. On the water front. *The New York Times*. February 18, 2007.
- Sampson, N. and L. Decoster. 2000. Forest fragmentation: Implications for sustainable private forests. *Journal of Forestry* 93(3): 4-8.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2004. *Base SAS 9.1 Procedures Guide*. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- SAS/STAT software, Version 9.1.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Schmidt, T.L. and W.H. McWilliams. 2003. Shifts and Future Trends in the Forest Resources of the Central Hardwood Region, United States Department of Agriculture forest Service, General Technical Report- 234, p. 21-31.
- Schneiderman, E. M., D. C. Pierson , D. G. Lounsbury, M. S. Zion, 2002. MODELING The Hydrochemistry of the Cannonsville Watershed with Generalized Watershed Loading Functions (GWLF), *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, Volume 38 Issue 5(123 – 1347).
- Schneiderman, E. M., T. S. Steenhuis, D. J. Thongs, Z. M. Easton, M. S. Zion, A. L. Neal, G. F. Mendoza, M. T. Walter, 2007. Incorporating variable source area hydrology into a curve-number-based watershed model. *Hydrological Processes*, 21(25): 3420-3430.
- Sliva, L., and Williams, D.D., 2001. Buffer zone versus whole catchment approaches to studying land use impact on river water quality. *Water Research*, 35 (14): 2462-3472.
- Smith, D., New York City Department of Environmental Protection, 2005. Personal communication. 6 June 2005.
- Smith, D.G., and Maasdam, R., 1994. New Zealand's River Water Quality Network 2. Relationships between physio-chemical data and environmental factors. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 28: 37-54.
- Smith, W.B., P.D. Miles, J.S. Vissage and S.A. Pugh. 2004. "Forest Resources of the United States, 2002, Us Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station, St. Paul, MN, p. 1-146.
- Soil Survey Division Staff, 1993. *Soil survey manual*. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. Last updated January 9, 2006. Accessed on February 12, 2007. Available < <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/manual>>.
- Spector, P.E. 1992. "Summated Rating Scale Construction: An Introduction." Newbury Park, CA, Sage Publications.
- Steenhuis, T. S., C.A. Shoemaker, Z.M. Easton, J.R. Stedinger, S.W. Lyon, N. Rao, D. Cowan, D. Fuka, F. Flores-Lopez, and B.A. Tolson, until April 1, 2003. Integrating Data and Models from the Cannonsville Watershed to Assess Effects of Phosphorus BMP's

- Stehman, S. V. 1997. Selecting and interpreting measures of thematic classification accuracy. *Remote Sensing Environment*, 62: 77-89.
- Stehman, S. V., J. D. Wickham, J. H. Smith, and L. Yang, 2003. Thematic accuracy of the 1992 National Land-Cover Data for the eastern United States: Statistical methodology and regional results. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 86:500-516.
- Stein, S. and B. Butler. 2004. *Forests on the Edge: Housing Development on America's Private Forests*
- Stone, B., 2004. Paving over paradise: how land use regulations promote residential imperviousness. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 69, 101-113.
- Svetlosanov, V., and W. G. Knisel (eds.) 1982. *European and U. S. Case Studies in Application of the CREAMS Model*. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria. CP-82-S11. 148 pp.
- Tarboton, D., 2000. TARDEM, a suite of programs for the analysis of digital elevation data. Utah State University. Accessed on June 2005. Available <<http://www.engineering.usu.edu/cee/faculty/dtarb/tardem.html>>.
- Theobald, D.M., Miller, J.R., Hobbs, N.T., 1997. Estimating the cumulative effects of development on wildlife habitat. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 39, 25-36.
- Thorne, S. 2000. *The New Hampshire Forest Land Base Survey, from the Forest Fragmentation: Sustaining Private Forests in the 21st Century Conference Proceedings*, New Haven, CT.
- Thorne, S. and D. Sundquist. 2001. *New Hampshire's vanishing forests: Conversion, fragmentation, and parcelization of forests in the Granite State*. Concord, NH: Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests.
- Thorsnes, P., 2002. The value of a suburban forest preserve: Estimates from sales of vacant residential building lots. *Land Econ.* 78(3), 426-441.
- Tim, U. S. S. Mostaghimi, and V. O. Shanholtz. 1992. Identification of Critical Nonpoint Pollution Source Areas Using Geographic Information Systems and Water Quality Modeling, 28(5):877-887.
- Tolson, B. A. and C. A. Shoemaker, 2007. Cannonsville Reservoir Watershed SWAT2000 model development, calibration and validation, *Journal of Hydrology* 337:68-86.
- Tyrrell, M.L., Hall, M.H.P., and Sampson, R.N., 2004. Dynamics models of land use change in Northeastern USA: Developing tools, techniques and talents for effective conservation. Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, New Haven, Connecticut. GISF Research Paper 003. Accessed on March 21, 2007. Available <<http://research.yale.edu/gisf/assets/pdf/ppf/Dynamic%20Model%20of%20Land%20Use%20Change%20Report.pdf>>.
- Tyrrell, M.L., M.H.P. Hall, S.J. Myers, E. Hawes, J. Cox, L. Yocom, T. Worthley, S. Price, J. Stocker, and J. Storelli. 2008. *Highlands Regional Study Connecticut and Pennsylvania Updates: Forest & Agricultural Resource Analysis; Growth & Impact Analysis*. Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, Global Institute of Sustainable Forestry. Report to the U.S. Forest Service, Northeastern Area State & Private Forestry. August 31, 2008.

- UN (United Nations), 2006. World urbanization prospects: the 2005 revision. United Nations, New York. Available <<http://www.un.org/esa/population/unpop.htm>>.
- US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 1996. Environmental indicators of water quality in the United States. EPA 841-R-96-002. Accessed on March 02, 2007. Available <<http://www.phillywater.org/taconyfrankford/Education/Indicators/EPAIndicatorReport.pdf>>.
- US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 1998. Clean water action plan: Restoring and protecting America's waters. Letter to the Vice President from Carol Bownner, EPA Administrator and Dan Glickman, Secretary of Agriculture.
- US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2002. New York City Filtration Avoidance Determination. [Online] Available at <<http://www.epa.gov/region02/water/nycshed/2002fad.htm>> Accessed on November 27, 2006. USEPA, Washington, DC.
- US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2007. Protecting water resources with higher-density development. EPA publication 231-R-06-001. Accessed on March 30, 2007. Available <http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/pdf/protect_water_higher_density.pdf>.
- USDA (More info: Dr. Bob Somers, USDA Agricultural Districts dept of Farmer Benefits and Protection, Albany, NY phone: (518) 457-2713 email: bob.somers@agmkt.state.ny.us)
- USDA Forest Service, 2008. Forest Inventory and Analysis National Program. Available at <http://fia.fs.fed.us/>.
- Vannote, R.L., Minshall, W.G., Cummins, K.W., Sedell, J.R., and Cushing, C.E., 1980. The river continuum concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 37: 130-137.
- Vickory, B. 2007. Exploring the urbanizing forested landscape and its effects on sustained yield management in Central New York. Masters Thesis, SUNY ESF, Syracuse, NY.
- Vickory, B., R.H. Germain, E. Bevilacqua. 2008. Urbanization's Impact on Sustained Yield Management as Perceived by Forestry Professionals in Central New York. *Forest Policy and Economics* (in press).
- Vörösmarty CJ, Green P, Salisbury J, Lammers RB. 2000. Global water resources: vulnerability from climate change acid population growth. *Science* 289 (5477): 284-288.
- Watershed Agricultural Council, 2007. Watershed farm and forest: 2006 annual report. Watershed Agricultural Council, Walton, NY. Available at <http://www.nycwatershed.org/pdfs/WFF%20AnnRept%202006%20Final%20.pdf>
- Wear, D. N., R. Liu, J.M. Foreman and R. M. Sheffield. 1999. The Effects of Population Growth on Timber Management and Inventories in Virginia. *Forest Ecology Management*. 118: 107-115.
- Williams, E. Douglass, Robert R. Gottfried, Charles D. Brockett and Jonathan P. Evans. 2004. An integrated analysis of the effectiveness of Tennessee's Forest Greenbelt Program. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 69: 287-297.

- Williams, M., Hopkinson, C., Rastetter, E., Vallino, J., and Claessens, L., 2005. Relationships of land use and stream solute concentrations in the Ipswich River Basin, Northeastern Massachusetts. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 161: 55-74.
- Woli, K.P., Nagumo, T., Kuramochi, K., Hatano, R., 2004. Evaluating water quality through land use analysis and N budget approaches in livestock farming areas. *Science of the total environment*, 329: 61-74.
- Xian, G., Crane, M., Junshan, S., 2007. An analysis of urban development and its environmental impact on the Tampa Bay watershed. *J. Env. Management* 85, 965-976.
- Yang, L., C.Huang, C. Homer, B. Wylie and M. Coan, submitted, An approach for mapping large-area impervious surfaces: Synergistic use of Landsat 7 ETM+ and high spatial resolution imagery, *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*.
- Young, E.O., and Briggs, R.D., 2005. Shallow ground water nitrate-N and ammonium-N in cropland and riparian buffers. *Agriculture, ecosystems, and environment*, 109: 297-309.
- Yuan, D., C.D. Elvidge, R.S. Lunetta, 1999. Survey of multispectral methods for land cover change analysis. In R.S. Lunetta and C.D. Elvidge (Eds), *Remote Sensing Change Detection: Environmental Monitoring Methods and Applications*, pp.21-39. Taylor & Francis, London.
- Zhang, Y. and B. Guindon, 2003. Quantitative assessment of a haze suppression methodology for satellite Imagery: effect on land cover classification performance. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41:1082-1089.
- Zhang, Y., B. Guindon, and J.Cihlar, 2002. An image transform to characterize and compensate for spatial variations in thin cloud contamination of Landsat images, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 82:173-187.
- Zipperer, W.C. 1993. Deforestation Patterns and Their Effects on Forest Patches, *Landscape Ecology*, 8:3, p. 177-184.
- Zipperer, W.C. and T.W. Birch. 1993. Forest land ownership patterns. New York New Jersey Highlands Regional Study: Analysis of selected resources. USDA Forest Service NA-TP-04-93. Washington, DC. USDA Forest Service Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry and Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.